Experimental and Numerical Investigation of Heat Transfer in Different Winglet-Surface in a Vertical Rectangular Duct
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HIGHLIGHTS

> Today’s industry requires removal of high heat accumulation from small surfaces such as micro-processors, and higher convection heat transfer rates are needed.
> Experimentally studying such systems are costly and due to interventions and uncontrolled factors results are not so reliable, therefore numerical studies and simulation software are of great importance.
> Different fin geometries and arrangements for cooling of such systems are studied using ANSYS Fluent, and a 3-D model of the turbulent flow in an arbitrary system has been analyzed. While the max heat flow per fan power has been obtained with cylindrical fins, the narrow/wide flipped arrangement has been found to be more effective in terms of heat transfer rate.
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ABSTRACT

One of the ways to increase heat transfer is to increase the heat transfer coefficient. The heat transfer coefficient can be increased by active and passive methods. In addition to increasing the heat transfer surface area, the fins produced by using different structures increase the heat transfer by passive method by breaking the boundary layer. This paper presents the experimental and numerical results of forced convection in a rectangular vertical channel. Experiments have been performed for three different fin shapes including, flat plate, cylindrical and diffuse (Narrow wide) (with the angle of $\theta = 60^\circ$) inside the wind channel. The obtained results are presented to show changes in Nusselt numbers and friction factor for all tested winglet types. Furthermore, for each type of winglets, test results have been compared between winglets in the term of temperature distribution along the winglets. It has been concluded that, heat transfer coefficient can be improved due to renewal as periodic of the boundary layer with effect, which of this winglets have particularly placed in the event of groups periodically contracting and expanding in the winglets. Basic conservation equations are solved in continuous flow regime using three-dimensional simulation in the turbulent flow conditions by means of ANSYS Fluent as a CFD program based on finite volume method. The geometry that has the best heat transfer as a result of the experimental and numerical analyzes made is narrowly wide and then the flat plate and finally the cylinder is ordered to be over the cylinder.
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1. Introduction

Heat exchangers with different forms are widely used in the engineering, chemical industry and process industry, etc. as efficient heating and cooling apparatus. The subject of heat transfer enhancement is of serious concern in heat exchanger applications, which leads to saving in cost and energy. However, important other parameters including, pressure losses, weight, compact designs and total price are also taken into account to design the desired and expedient heat exchangers. The mentioned items, motivate scholars to optimize the performance of heat exchangers and thermal systems over the existing designs [1].

Different channel and fin types were the subject of numerous valuable studies in the open literature. Heat transfer and flow structure in a rectangular channel with longitudinal wake [2], heat transfer in multiple parallel vertical channels with isothermal walls [3], were analyzed in detail. Taguchi method was used to optimize an exchanger design in a rectangular duct. The effects of Reynolds number, the ratio of the duct channel width to height, winglets length to the duct channel length and inclination angles of winglets was investigated. Nusselt and friction factor were presented as performance parameters [4, 5]. Briggs et al. studied the flow friction and heat transfer characteristics in six plain triangular plate-fin and five offset rectangular [6]. Different types of vortex generator were used to analyze heat transfer and fluid flow structure in a fluid passing channel [7, 8].

Heat transfer and pressure drop were studied experimentally for a new type of perforated surface heat exchangers in the range of Reynolds numbers lower than 3000 [9]. Caliskan et al. [10] investigated impinging jet array heat transfer in different Reynolds numbers for a surface with V-Shaped Convergent–Divergent ribs. Total Nusselt numbers were provided as performance factor of test results [10]. Periodically converging-diverging tubes consisted of a succession of alternately converging-diverging conical sections were experimentally studied to determine fully developed heat transfer coefficients, pressure distributions, and friction factors. The oil-lampblack technique was used for flow visualization [11]. In the laminar flow, the converging-diverging channel was analyzed using discretized conservation equations for energy, mass, and momentum on a control volume as a finite difference solution [12]. Another numerical solution was employed by using a finite difference method in an array of vertical overlapping discrete plate segments in the natural convection conditions. It was revealed that the overlapping arrays enhances the heat transfer rate approximately 80–90% [13].

A CFD computer code was performed in another study by Sahin et al [14]. GAMBIT and Fluent software were used to mesh and analyze heat transfer rate of plate fin-tube heat exchangers with seven different fin angles [14]. Fluent program was also used for heat transfer characteristics in a tube with circular cross-sectional rings. Five different rings spacing was tested and compare in the range of Reynolds number 4475–43725 and the maximum overall enhancement of 18% was reported for Re = 15,600 [15].

2. Experimental setup and test procedure

The schematic view and a picture of the stainless steel vertical channel with rectangular cross-section with 12 x 8 cm inner sides, length of 100 cm and the wall thickness of 1 mm are shown in Figure 1. In addition, schematic view of three different prepared fins (made of aluminum) is presented in Figure 2. One speedometer at the channel entrance was used to record air flow rate through the vertical channel and T-types (Copper/Constantan) thermocouples located on channel inlet, outlet and wall surface of the test fins to measure the needed temperatures. All fin types are tested under four different heat fluxes and four flow rates including, 20, 40, 60, and 80 W at the velocity of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 m/sec. The air flow rate can be controlled and adjusted by a manual valve installed in the power and control unit to approach the intended velocity.

The uniform heating power is supplied by DC electric heater connected to the lower surface of the fins. Regarding the temperatures and make ensure that the system is in the stable condition, measured data have been taken and recorded.
2.1. Calculation

In the present work, heat transfer rate is assumed to be in a steady state condition. In addition, according to previous research [16], radiation heat loss (approximately 3% of the input thermal energy) can be supposed to be ignorable and also consider that the test section is insulated (except for front-side), electrical power energy provided for heat transfer can be stated as,

\[ \dot{Q} = \dot{V} \cdot I = \dot{m} \cdot c_{p,\text{air}} (T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}}) \] \hspace{1cm} (1)

convective heat transfer coefficient is given as,

\[ h = \frac{\dot{Q}}{a(T_b - T_a)} \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

bulk temperature of the fluid is,

\[ T_b = \frac{(T_{\text{in}} + T_{\text{out}})}{2} \] \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where \( A \) and \( T \) are heat transfer area of the surface and average temperature of the surface respectively. It should be stated that the thermo-physical properties of the air have been determined at the overall bulk temperature. Average Nusselt number of heat transfer coefficient (\( h \)) can be calculated as,

\[ Nu = \frac{h \cdot L}{\lambda} \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here, \( \lambda \) is fluid local thermal conductivity and \( L \) is the length of the channel.

The Reynolds number based on the total flow rate at the inlet section is obtained by:

\[ Re = \frac{\rho \cdot v \cdot d_e}{\mu} \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( v \) and \( d_e \) are the average velocity and effective diameter, respectively. For the used channel effective diameter can be calculated by the following equation,

\[ d_e = \sqrt[3]{\frac{V'}{\pi}} \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \( V' \) is the net volume of the channel and can be expressed as,

\[ V' = V_{\text{channel}} - V_{\text{fin}} \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

The channel friction factor can be computed along the test section by pressure loss, \( \Delta P \), as the following equation:

\[ f = \frac{\Delta P}{(\frac{L}{D_a}) \cdot \rho \cdot U_m^2} \] \hspace{1cm} (8)

In this equation, \( \rho \) is density of is film temperature of the air and \( U_m \) is the average velocity of the fluid. In the subjects of strategies for heat transfer enhancement, enough attention should be paid to flow resistance, friction factor value and performance factor. Regarding the \( Nu \) number and friction factor, the performance factor of the experimented fins is evaluated to have an appropriate comparison of overall thermo-hydrodynamic performance among test fins. Performance evaluation criteria”, \( \Pi \), is written as following [17, 18].

\[ \Pi = \left( \frac{Nu}{Nu_0} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{f_0}{f} \right)^{1/2} \] \hspace{1cm} (9)

where \( f_0 \) and \( Nu_0 \) are the friction factor and Nusselt number of the plain channel.

With the aim of validation, the obtained Nusselt number (\( Nu \)) and friction factor (\( f \)) of the plain channel have been compared to those correlations proposed in the literature. The empirical correlations for the plain channel are considered to achieve Nusselt number and friction factor as follows [19, 20].
Nu = 0.023Re^{0.8}Pr^{1/3} \tag{10}

Colburn correlation (for 10^4 \leq Re)

Nu=0.023Re^{0.8}Pr^n (n=0.3-0.4) \tag{11}

Dittus-Boelter correlation (for 10^4 \leq Re)

f=0.316Re^{-0.25} \tag{12}

Blasius correlation (Re \leq 20000)

f= (0.790 \ln Re - 1.64)^{-2} \tag{13}

Petukhov correlation (for 3000 \leq Re \leq 5 \times 10^6)

To compare the experimental results, experiments were performed using the same size, the different elements of the experimental vane.

In this study, ANSYS Fluent 16 has been used to analyze the described problem that can be assumed to be 3-D model with turbulent flow. A schematic view of geometry with the analysis domain has been shown in Figure 2. At this stage, the following assumptions are applied for air as test fluid in the derivation of continuity, momentum and energy equations. In this section, conservation equations including, Equations 14, 15, 16 are given for mass conservation, momentum equation, and energy conservation respectively, as follows,

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) = - \nabla P + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \mathbf{g}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial (\rho T)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} T) = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) + \dot{Q}
\end{equation}

The CFD simulation has been analyzed by using k-ε RNG model with enhanced wall functions for the near wall treatment which can be expressed as,

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla T) = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \tau
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\mu_t = \rho C_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon}
\end{equation}

In the present study, mesh validation analysis has been performed for the entire test region. It was revealed that the problem is converged in over 5*10^6 cells. The grid is well concentrated close to the walls and fins to achieve precise results in the boundary conditions.

3. Experimental results and discussion

The experiments on the surface before the wingless rectangular channel, then the test system shown in Figure 1 and shown in Figure 2 with the experimental elements of different experiments carried out with speed and heat load. Aileron surface and body temperature measurements were made of three different points.

The specifications of the experimental vane elements in Figure 2 are shown in Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure 5, with the temperature of the cooling fins, each fin to the height of a model given in exchange. Q heat of the system is given the same amount for each model. As you can see the results obtained from the temperature value is evaluated in terms of shrinking-expanding channel temperature rise is higher than the others. This model shows that the heat transfer is better.

Similarly, Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8 and Figure 9, the graphs are examined, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 m/s speeds for each test element 20, 40, 60 and 80 watts of heat load and the Reynolds number coefficient of friction between the exchange were investigated.

Shrinking-expanding type was observed that a higher coefficient of friction. Refers to loss of pressure in this too, is more than the others. The same way, Figure 10, Figure 11, Figure 12 and Figure 13 examined changes between Nu and Re numbers 20, 40, 60 and 80 watts of heat load was observed shrinking-expanding type better heat transfer takes place.
3.1. Numerical Analysis

Heat exchange between two or more fluids at different temperatures is one of the most common processes in engineering applications. The heat exchangers used for this change can be found practically in thermal power plants, chemical industries, heating, air conditioning, cooling installations, vehicles, electronic devices.

The correct sizing and fabrication of heat exchangers with a wide range of applications provide significant economies, especially for large heat loads. For this reason, it is aimed to manufacture heat exchanger with low loss and high efficiency. However, with this in mind, the most favorable solution must be found by adding some other factors such as selection of suitable materials, operating costs, noise, system stability, etc.

Improving the performance of heat exchangers; at the exit of the heat exchanger in the given operating conditions, the temperature difference between the cold fluid and the hot fluid is reduced as much as possible. It is one of the preferred methods to increase the heat transfer coefficients of the fluids to reduce this temperature difference. Increasing the heat
transfer coefficients can be achieved through active or passive methods of heat transfer enhancement techniques. Methods such as rotating the surface, vibrating the fluid, using mechanical auxiliary elements, mixing the flow with the mechanical parts, forming the surface vibration, forming the electrostatic fields in the flow medium; Examples include passive surface treatment of the surface of the heat transfer surface, surface replacement, twisted tape fragments, screw type turning pieces, winding wires, guide wings, flow rolling propellers, insertion turbulence generators and enlarged surfaces, known as the fin heat exchanger, has many varieties.

Increasing the turbulence intensity of the flow medium by increasing the contact surface in the flow medium is used for the purpose of facilitating the disintegration and re-formation of the boundary layer by placing it comfortably in the flow medium and increasing the heat transfer area. In addition to experimental studies, there are many studies in the literature where numerical methods are used to obtain a numerical approximate solution instead of a definite analytical solution. Sahin et al. [14] studied the heat transfer increase and pressure drop in seven different wing angle flat plate finned tube heat exchangers. Three-dimensional numerical computational technique Numerical simulation using CFD code Fluent has been performed. Ozceyhan et al. [15] studied numerically the heat transfer rate to the boron with circular section ring. The plate heat exchanger in the experimental system was analyzed at different temperature and flow rates. The optimum temperatures of the fluids circulating in the heat exchanger in different operating conditions were determined and the system was analyzed by the second law and the ANSYS computer program.

3.2. Results and evaluation for numerical Analysis

The effects of heat transfer with three different geometries placed on the heat exchanger have been investigated numerically. The results of the analysis performed with Ansys Fluent, a computational fluid dynamics package program; Rather than establishing high-cost and long-time test systems, three-dimensional complex flow problems can be solved in a short time with high-performance calculations with computational fluid dynamics.

When the temperature distributions in the numerical calculations are examined, the temperature difference at the inlet and outlet will be measured until the rise of the air is 2-1.5-1-0.5 m/s.

The conjugate heat transfer analysis of fins is carried out by using commercial available FLUENT® software and turbulence module is used to account for turbulence phenomenon.
Figure 16 In Plate fins Temperature, Velocity and Pressure distribution for $V=0.5-1-1.5-2$ m/s

Figure 17 In Plate fins Temperature, Velocity and Pressure distribution for $V=0.5-1-1.5-2$ m/s
Standard k-ε model is used for turbulence model. As the first step of the present study, the CFD results have to be validated against analytical values or experimental values or previous literature data. In the velocity distributions, although the air enters the heat exchanger at a fixed speed in the form of a completely undeveloped flow and has a smooth flow structure, the flow rate increases with the turbulence formed in the channel.

4. Conclusions

Vane surface elements in a vertical channel with rectangular cross-section performed experiments. Results were compared separately. Based on the calculations, the temperature $T_f$. The results of the experiments, the graphs in Figure 3 to Figure 13 are given separately. This chart illustrates the relationship between fin length and fin temperature. Angle between them in terms of contraceptive effectiveness and performance of vane elements, respectively, shrinking-expanding element of the test vane to improve heat transfer better than others is observed. Vane and a plane surface, respectively, then the test element are cylindrical. Their fin efficiency was calculated. Reynolds also improved as the number of heat transfer is increasing. Boundary layer between the blade and the flow direction perpendicular to the renewal of secondary flows caused by improvement in heat transfer is concluded. These kinds of elements to be designed in different ways, particularly through the cooling systems have been observed to be more effective.

the experimenter was made in a forced flow and continuous regime, according to the results of ANSYS, the best heat transfer appears in fin (Narrow wide), in (Plate fins) and lowest heat transfer (cylindrical) respectively, but on the contrary, the speed and pressure drop are shown respectively in (cylindrical), (Plate fin) and (Narrow wide).

and in the same case a high rate is one of the factors that cause the increase of heat transfer. but the increase in speed is not the only effect alone, and is a parameter that affects the healing of heat transfer in the change of geometry.

It can be helpful to choose a better geometry for the heat transfer to be improved because the pressure difference in the diagrams is based on the number of Reynolds of the coefficient of friction and also in the analysis of pressures in the analysis of the Ansys since the pressure difference is in the same ratios as the friction coefficient.
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Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Heat transfer area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_k$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>The fins total heat transfer area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Straight vane plate total heat transfer area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Width of the channel (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Specific heat of the air (kJ kg⁻¹ K⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_h$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Hydraulic diameter (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_f$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Cylindrical fin diameter (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Friction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>m s⁻²</td>
<td>Acceleration of gravity (m s⁻²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Grashof number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>W m⁻² K⁻¹</td>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Channel length (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>FLAP Contour (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>m s⁻¹</td>
<td>Axial Velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_s$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Surface temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>W m⁻²</td>
<td>Heat flux (W m⁻²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Heat transfer rate (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_e$</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>The electric power of the heater (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ql</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>The heat loss (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>K⁻¹</td>
<td>Thermal expansion coefficient (K⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>W m⁻¹ K⁻¹</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity(W m⁻¹ K⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>m s⁻¹</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity (m s⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>N m⁻²</td>
<td>Dynamic viscosity (N s m⁻²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>kg m⁻³</td>
<td>Density (kg m⁻³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_l$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fin efficiency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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